DATE CON

Nixon Vow To Aid Laos Reaffirmed

United Press International

The Nixon administration has restated its pledge to protect Laos on the eve of a special closed Senate session to examine the extent of U.S. financial and military support to that Southeast Asian kingdom.

A State Department official outlined the administration's policy in a letter to Sen. Eward M. Kennedy. The letter said U.S. failure to prevent a North Vietnamese takeover of Laos would permit Hanoi to "divert thousands of their forces ... to the war against South Vietnam and greatly enhance their position" in border areas used for attacks on allied troops.

Kennedy (D-Mass.) charged yesterday that the letter "justifies new military ventures by the President anywhere in Southeast Asia" and that Mr. Nixon Heady is conducting an unauthorized war in northern Lack.

Sturt. Symington (D. 1985) 110 challenged the President of U.S. involvement was "increasingly grave." He contended that U.S. ald now runs into the "hundreds of millions of dollars," in contrast to official estimates of about \$52 million for the current year.

Symington, chairman of a Senate armed services subcommittee, accased the administration of cloaking the extent of U.S. activity in "a mantle of secrecy" in possible violation of restrictions imposed last year on use of American troops in Laos and funding of foreign mercenary forces to defend Laos.

At today's rare closed session, Symington said, he will give the Senate the facts about U.S. bombing in northern Laos that is not intended to block the flow of supplies down the Ho Chi Minh trail into South Vietnam.

The letter to Kennedy was written by David M. Abshire, an assistant secretary of state for congressional relations. He said Mr. Nixon had asked him to reply to the senator's April 23 request for clarification of administration policy.

Abshire said there were two separate North Vietnamese military operations in Laos—one to move supplies into South Vietname and a second, in northern Lacis, aimed at undermining the Laotian government. The United States had an interest in opposing both efforts to protect American troops in Vietnam, he said.

Abshire argued that the President had authority "to protect our troops and to bring the hostilities which were under way when he took office to an end in a way that will contribute to a durable pcace."

Approved For Release 2002/01/10: CIA-RDP73B00296B969300690024-4 DATE

THE NEW YORK TIMES

## Two Senators Assail U.S. on Laos War

By JOHN W. FINNEY Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, June 6-Senator Stuart Symington said to-day that instead of the \$52-million publicly acknowledged by the Administration, the United States was spending "hundreds of millions of dollars" in a clandestine war in northern Laos.

Senator Edward M. Kennedy, meanwhile, protested that the Administration was indulging in "contradictions" and "whitewashes" on the American in-volvement in the war in northern Laos and advancing a logic for the involvement that "justifies new military adventures by the President anywhere in Southern Asia."

The Kennedy protest was prompted by a State Depart-ment contention that the President has constitutional authority to "take reasonable measures" in northern Laos as part of his program of withdrawing American forces from South Vietnam. The contention was made in response to a letter from Senator Kennedy that asked what authority the President had for United States military activities in northern Laos.

The dual attack on Adminisration policies in Laos came as the Senate prepared to hold tomorrow afternoon one of its infrequent closed sessions to review the extent of the American military involvement in Laos.

The session will be held at the request of Senator Syming-ton, chairman of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee's Subcommittee on foreign commiments. The subcommittee has been investigating the generally unpublicized American military activities in northern Laos over the last two years.

During the session, the Missouri Democrat said, he plans to present a report showing that the United States is spending far more for military activities in Laos than as ever been publicly acknowledged by the

Administration.

The only publicly announced cost of United States activities in Laos, he noted, has been \$52million in economic aid this year.

Symington said, "the cost of before the Senate judiciary tion powers as Commander in committee on refugees. Mr. Chief and in the field of for-United States-supported mili-tary operations runs the amount we are actually spending well into the hundreds of millions of dollars."

The Symington statement was based on a still-secret report prepared by two Symington subcommittee staff members — James G. Lowenstein and Richard M. Moose — who visited Laos in April. On the State military activities in the precise of the p was based on a still-secret revisited Laos in April. On the basis of that report, Senator Symington said, he would describe to the Senate "the increasing Chinese presence in Laos, such as B-52 bombing raids in northern Laos and the introduction of Thai troops into the Laotian war, "with a suntroduction of Thai troops into the Laotian war, "with a suntroduction of Laos border-

the executive branch on the Administration's constitutional authority to engage in hostili-

ties in northern Laos.

Mr. Kennedy's letter, dressed to Mr. Nixon, had been prompted by testimony in April by William H. Sullivan, Deputy Assistant Secretary of State for

Sullivan said at one point that eign relations provide authority the war in orthern Laos has for him to take reasonable "nothing to do with military measures to carry out these operations in South Vietnam or with drawals, to protect our Cambodia."

troops and to bring the hostil-

the Laotian war, "with a mantle of secrecy."

Senator Kennedy made his statement in releasing an exchange of correspondence with the launch attacks on statement in releasing an exchange of correspondence with the launch attacks on statement in releasing an exchange of correspondence with the launch attacks on statement in releasing an exchange of correspondence with the launch attacks on statement in releasing an exchange of correspondence with the launch attacks on statement in releasing an exchange of correspondence with the launch attacks on statement in releasing an exchange of correspondence with the launch attacks on statement in releasing an exchange of correspondence with the launch attacks on statement in releasing an exchange of correspondence with the launch attacks on statement in releasing an exchange of correspondence with the launch attacks on statement in releasing an exchange of correspondence with the launch attacks on statement in releasing an exchange of correspondence with the launch attacks on statement in releasing an exchange of correspondence with the launch attacks on statement in releasing an exchange of correspondence with the launch attacks on statement in releasing an exchange of correspondence with the launch attacks on the launch attacks